

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,345	05/03/2001		Abraham Benderly	A01040A	6887
21898	7590	12/29/2003		EXAM	INER
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT				LANGEL, WAYNE A	
		ENI EMALL WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELF	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399				

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s) Bender / V et a/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner 2 Group Art Unit 1754			
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on th cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—			
P riod for Reply	~			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply find the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.				
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-8-03			
This action is FINAL.				
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims $1 - 1 \wedge 1 + 2 - 3$	is/are pending in the application.			
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim/a	in /ana allannad			
Claim(s) [7] 94 4	is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement			
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	•			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	u to by the Examiner			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un-	der 35 II S.C. & 119 (a)_(d)			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	·			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	ceived.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec				
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	• •			
in this national stage application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))			
*Certified copies not received:	··································			
Atta hment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413			
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Applicati n, PTO-152			
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R vi w, PTO-948	□ Other			
Office Action Summary				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

-1

Part of Pap r No.

Serial No. 09/847,345

1754

Art Unit

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under Section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 12-21 and 23 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nero et al., for the reasons given in the last Office action. Applicant's argument, that Nero et al. teach that the suitable range for the N:P ratio of the first

Art Unit 1754

solution is from 0.7 to 1.3, which corresponds to a pH of from 2 to 6, is not convincing, since a pH of 2 would be embraced by the pH of about 3.5 or less, as recited in applicant's claim 1, or a pH of about 2 or less, as recited in applicant's claims 12 and 23. Applicant's argument, that there is no discussion in Nero et al. of why such an N:P ratio (or such a pH level) is desirable, or that a lower pH would be particularly desirable, is not convincing, since it is not necessary that Nero et al. appreciate any advantages of employing a pH of 2. It is only necessary that Nero et al. disclose or suggest doing what applicant has done, which is to employ a pH of 2 in the first solution. Applicant's argument, that Nero et al. state that suitable stripping gases include propane, nitrogen, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, or mixtures thereof, is not convincing. The fact that Nero et al. disclose carbon dioxide as a suitable stripping gas does not render nugatory the fact that Nero et al. also disclose propane, nitrogen and carbon monoxide as suitable stripping gases. Again, it is not necessary that Nero et al. appreciate any advantages for avoiding the use of carbon dioxide as a stripping gas, but it is sufficient that Nero et al. only disclose doing what applicant has done, which is to employ such gases as propane, nitrogen and carbon monoxide as the stripping gas.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

Serial No. 09/847,345

Art Unit 1754

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (571) 272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Art Unit 1754

WAL:cdc

December 23, 2003

